

The League of Women Voters of Portland

618 NW Glisan Street, Suite 303, Portland, OR 97209 503-228-1675 • info@lwvpdx.org • www.lwvpdx.org

Board of Directors	DATE:	December 20, 2018
Doreen Binder President	TO:	U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Attn: Portland Harbor Comments
Debbie Kaye 1st Vice President		805 SW Broadway, Ste. 500
Stephanie Hertzog 2nd Vice President		Portland, OR 97205
Marion McNamara 3 rd Vice President	FROM:	League of Women Voters of Portland Doreen Binder, president
Peter Englander Treasurer		Marion McNamara and Debbie Aiona, Action Committee
Anne Davidson Secretary	RE:	Portland Harbor Superfund, Explanation of Significant Differences
Debbie Aiona		
Carol Cushman	The League of Women Voters of Portland appreciates the opportunity to comment on the proposed modifications to the clean up plan prompted by the Explanation of Significant Differences (ESD) released in October 2018. The revision to the health risk assessment related to benzo(a)pyrene (BaP) will reduce the number of acres subject to active clean up through dredging and capping.	
Kristin Eberhard		
Judy Froemke		
Kim Mason		
Mary McWilliams		
Maud Naroll	The	League's advocacy work is at all times guided by positions our
Margaret Noel	membership adopts at the national, state, and local levels. Positions are adopted through a consensus process after member review of League studies. The positions we are relying upon in this case include the LWVUS Natural Resources and the Citizen's Right to Know/Citizen Participation positions.	
Off Board Leaders		
Peggy Bengry		
Nancy Donovan	Following are the relevant passages: The League believes that natural resources should be managed as interrelated parts of life-supporting ecosystems. Pollution of these resources should be controlled in order to preserve the physical, chemical and biological integrity of ecosystems and to protect public health.	
Suzanne Fleming		
Paulette Meyer		
Corinne Paulson		
Betsy Pratt		
Barbara Ross	The League supports the preservation of the physical, chemical and biological integrity of the ecosystem and maximum protection of public health and the environment.	
	• Wa int an	ague supports: ater resource programs and policies that reflect the errelationships of water quality, water quantity, groundwater d surface water and that address the potential depletion or llution of water supplies;

- Measures to reduce water pollution from direct point source discharges and from indirect nonpoint sources;
- Policies to achieve water quality essential for maintaining species populations and diversity, including measures to protect lakes, estuaries, wetlands, and in-stream flows.

The League of Women Voters believes that democratic government depends on informed and active participation at all levels of government. The League further believes that governmental bodies must protect the citizen's right to know by giving adequate notice of proposed actions, holding open meetings, and making public records accessible.

The League does not support making any changes to the clean up plan at this time. It has been less than one year since the Record of Decision was finalized. Consideration of this proposal has distracted agency and community efforts from moving forward with the clean up plan and redirected attention towards addressing the ramifications of the ESD. The residents of Portland, especially those most affected by the pollution, have waited many long years for conditions to improve in the Portland Harbor. It is essential that all our energy be devoted to that task.

The decision to propose revising the plan based on this new BaP value was made entirely at the discretion of local Superfund site officials and is not a nationwide requirement for affected sites. Responding to the Potentially Responsible Parties' insistence that the Portland Harbor plan be changed at this time sets a precedent that could lead to future requests and further delays in the progress of the clean up itself. The five-year reviews required by CERCLA are the appropriate time to respond to such updates.

The higher allowable level for BaP is based on the study of human health risks. These studies did not evaluate the risk to wildlife and plants from increased exposure. The League supports preservation of the biological integrity of ecosystems and does not believe EPA should increase potential environmental risk without further study. We do support EPA's recommendation to exempt the navigation channel from changes to the clean up plan because of the possibility of environmental damage.

EPA staff explained at a recent public forum that there is a dearth of information on the additive effects of PAHs in combination with other chemicals. This alone should indicate the need for caution. Moreover, just because BaP has been found to be less toxic, other toxics in the same family may still be a threat.

Moving forward, the League urges EPA to include the public in meetings with DEQ, affected jurisdictions, tribal governments, and the Potentially Responsible Parties where clean up plans are discussed. It is time to put an end to behind-the-scenes deliberations and bring more transparency into the process. We recognize the allocation process will not be public, but the implementation of the clean up should be.

Finally, the League sincerely appreciates the lengths to which EPA staff has gone to inform the community about the ESD. We understand accepting public comment under these

circumstances is not required. We are grateful for the opportunity and urge this practice to continue in the event this type of change is considered in the future. Thank you for considering our comments.